## Trust Still: A Self-Reflection Tool

Connection is the <u>energy</u> that is created between people when they feel seen, heard and valued, when they can give and receive without judgement. (Brene Brown, Ph.D., LMSW)

Trust is not a tangible – yet it can be measured. It is more than a feeling – it is an <u>energy</u> that allows a person, partners, or organization to take the risks to engage fully without fear. This activity is titled, *'Trust Still'* because it supports the knowledge, skills, skills, dispositions, and behaviors to get through the times when our expectations for ourselves, others, and the groups we are participating in are not satisfied. We can make a commitment to continue to trust ourselves, others, and our groups; and continue to work through the challenges and find new approaches to realize our goals.

The purpose of this learning activity is for participants to reflect on their own level of trust within an interaction, to see trust on a continuum and to determine what can be done to increase the level of trust. It can be used individually or as a group and can be revisited over time.

We all begin new interactions with our own experiences, expectations, and beliefs. Before entering a new interaction, consider the following *Guiding Questions for Self-reflection*:

- What is the common purpose for coming together?
- What value do I hope to gain because of my participation?
- How would I assess my understanding of content related to the topic?
- How might my prior experiences impact my participation?
- Consider culture. How might others within the interaction have a perspective different than mine?
- How might external pressures such as predetermined timelines or outcomes impact our work together?
- Are we working together voluntarily?



Like a temperature gauge, trust can fluctuate depending upon many variables. Groups have their ups and downs and are in a constant state of evolution, yet every participant has a mutual responsibility to work towards the highest level of trust.

Considering context, place a check beside the areas that best represents the interaction you are involved with. Then check the level of trust for each row within the table below.

- ☐ Two-person interaction
- □ Small group interaction
- □ Large group interaction
- □ Group leader
- ☐ Group participant

	Low Level of Trust		Moderate Level of Trust		High Level of Trust
Experiences					
	Have had prior negative experiences that support claims and feelings of distrust and suspicions.		Have had both prior positive and negative experiences that support claims and feelings of hesitation to trust.		Have had many positive experiences that support claims and feelings of a high level of trust and confidence.
	Maintain a high level of distrust and suspicions even when a negative		Remain cautious and hesitant even when experience appears to be positive.		Maintain a high level of trust even when there are negative experiences, challenges and conflicts.

	experience is replaced by a positive experience.					
	Dispositions, Attitudes and Beliefs					
	Suspicious and often unsure that those involved are acting with positive intentions.		Presume good intentions but hesitant to be fully involved in case others do not fulfill their expectations.	-	Presume good intentions even when challenged with opposing opinions and ideas that cause concern and may not support deeply held beliefs or values.	
	Do not trust the motives of others. May think there is a hidden motive or a hidden agenda; that decisions will be made based on power or position rather than merit.	<del></del>	-Understand that trusting doesn't mean turning over power or opportunity to influence decisions.		Even when there is a disagreement with another person's perspective, willing to reflect and believe that there is merit in their point of view regardless of power or position.	
	Fearful of change or rejection.		Open to change.		Welcome change as an opportunity for growth.	
Actions						
	Do not listen or take time to process and value ideas of others.		Listen and take time to process and value ideas and opinions of others but hesitant to respond.		Actively listen and encourage others to share their ideas and opinions.	
	Ignore factors related to culture and diversity within a group.		Sensitive to cultural differences within the group.		Actively demonstrate a respect for cultural diversity through the	

		inclusion of varying perspectives.
Ask questions that show a lack of trust of others or systems, and not fully open to consider opposing views.	Willing to find a common purpose with others but doing so without a strong commitment.	Actively interested and encourage others to explain, challenge, or provide opposing views. Willing to change a position.
Believe conflict is harmful and not productive.	Avoid conflict with others out of concern that it will damage the relationship.	Recognize conflict as a way to grow.
Concerned about possible retaliation and judgement if honest with beliefs.	Cautious; trust until someone breaks an agreed upon social norm.	Willing to take risks to be fully involved. Share ideas without fear of retaliation.
Disagree or challenge ideas of others as a barrier to open conversations. May be overly judgmental and critical.	Will wait to be engaged; want others to prove themselves first.	Continue to fully engage and support the consensus process to move forward even if not fully convinced on all points.
Unwilling to take the time to think over the issue or engage with others.	Willing to extend trust when common connections are made.	Willing to take time to reflect, think over the issue and fully consider the input from others before making a decision.
Do not see the value in other perspectives.	Understand that conflicting opinions can result from the same intent and goal but unsure of outcome.	Differences are met with a positive tone. Validate someone's position even when don't personally share this belief or perspective.

<del></del>	-Relations may be cordial but often do not reflect true feelings of reluctance to participate.		Present but often hesitant to actively engage and take risks to share ideas and opinions.		Collaborative, comfortable and confident in taking the risks to fully engage and actively participate.
	Outcomes				
	Afraid to risk the consequences of participation.		Recognize the benefits of the involvement.		See the value of getting on board to sustain the work.
	Not engaged in the work.		Cautiously engaged with the realization that one can trust others without having to agree.		Very engaged and committed to the process of working together.
	Communication is limited to one way.		Two-way communication emerges.		Communication flows easily among participants.
	There is little hope that the interaction will result in a change.		There is a commitment to tasks completion, but sustainability is uncertain.		There is hope and expectation that work will be sustained over time.

How would you summarize the level of trust within this interaction?

Date of reflection:

Follow-up date:

## Advancing Trust: A Self-refection

Trust is dynamic, an ingredient or catalyst that can energize a group. If we can learn how to build trusting relationships, we can become more effective, efficient, productive, and more satisfied with our work.

Reflect on the previous table outlining levels of trust. Within the context of your work, what actions could you take to advance the level of trust within an interaction? Consider the questions below and develop some actions resulting from the self-reflection.

Self-Reflection Question	Possible Action(s)
How could I acknowledge the experiences of others as a way to develop trust?	
How could I be sure that I am respecting the diverse cultural backgrounds of others?	
How could I respond when challenged with opposing opinions and ideas that may not support my deeply held beliefs or values?	
How could I actively welcome, respect and encourage others to share their ideas and opinions?	

In what ways could I demonstrate that I am fully engaged and support the consensus process to move forward even if not fully convinced on all points?	
How could I validate someone's position even when they don't personally share my beliefs or perspective?	
What could I do to feel comfortable and confident in taking the risks needed to fully engage and actively participate?	
Other questions you may have	